

Exploring the Role of Trauma-Informed Care in Mental Health Services

Kashif Sameer

PhD Scholar, Department of Psychology, University of Central Punjab, Gujranwala

Farooq Faiz

Lecturer, Department of Psychology, University of Central Punjab, Gujranwala

Abstract

This research investigates the role of trauma-informed care (TIC) in mental health services, emphasizing its importance in improving treatment outcomes for individuals affected by trauma. TIC is a framework that recognizes the widespread impact of trauma and integrates this understanding into all aspects of care. Using a mixed-methods approach, this study combines quantitative analysis of patient outcomes with qualitative interviews from mental health professionals and clients. The findings indicate that implementing TIC principles—such as safety, trust, peer support, and empowerment—can significantly enhance client engagement and satisfaction, leading to lower dropout rates and improved mental health outcomes. The study also identifies key challenges to the adoption of TIC, including insufficient training for practitioners and systemic barriers within healthcare settings. By highlighting successful examples of TIC implementation, the research advocates for the broader adoption of trauma-informed practices in mental health services. Ultimately, this study aims to provide insights for policymakers and mental health practitioners, demonstrating that a trauma-informed approach not only fosters healing and recovery but also contributes to creating more compassionate and effective mental health systems.

Keywords: trauma-informed care, mental health services, treatment outcomes, client engagement, empowerment, holistic approach, healthcare barriers, best practices.

1. Introduction to Trauma-Informed Care

Conceptually, trauma-informed care points to the necessity of understanding trauma and ensuring that administration is sensitive to trauma experiences and their consequences for those accessing mental health services. Trauma, interpersonal violence, and living life on the streets can shatter a person's connection to self, others, and community, and fragment a person's experience of self, thereby affecting their overall well-being and the quality and nature of relationships. As such, trauma-

informed care suggests providing services with an awareness of the impact of trauma on which clinical interventions are premised, a willingness to accommodate the vulnerabilities resulting from trauma, and to provide a safe framework in which the work of therapy is undertaken. There is a recognized need for a systematic review of the challenges in implementing trauma-informed approaches within mental health services. The mental health workforce is likely to incorporate a range of views around the utility of trauma-informed practices, from complete rejecters to those ethically and spiritually bound to engage with those things that have wounded and to provide a healing environment where staff are mindful, gentle, and compassionate toward the survivors of such wounds. Many informal, purely discrete examples of this are to be found. This suggests that the steps that health and mental health services need to take on a systematic, service-wide, and population-wide basis are those needed prior to convincing the rejecters and taking on the challenges to ensure people have the skills and support to make the changes needed to engage with the principles of trauma-informed care; they need to listen to survivor voices and undertake a serious commitment to reflection, understanding, empathy, and justice. In short, to forge a compassionate approach to the service of those who have undergone the trauma of receiving treatment. Importantly, this review stems in large part from this view: discordant voices need to be heard. (Goddard, 2022)

1.1. Definition and Principles

Trauma-informed care is rooted in an awareness of the widespread prevalence of trauma and the ways that it can shape individuals' lives. It is a program, organization, and system priority within a mental health service environment that is based on a real appreciation of the impact of trauma on people's lives and the interactions that they have with the health care system. It emphasizes the role that trauma can play in both being at the center of the reason why an individual might be seeking support and also the role it can play in being a lasting boundary to effective engagement in service. It emphasizes the need for services to be shaped in a way that is attuned to and tailored to the expectations from and experiences with services that can arise post-trauma rather than simply continue to deliver interventions as prescribed. (Ball et al.2022)

At its heart, trauma-informed care is about these principles and the hope that all of these will be lived out. Trauma-informed care is defined by the following six

principles: - Patient safety: prioritizing physical, emotional, and psychological safety of those being cared for. - Trustworthiness in the service being provided: promoting clear and transparent communication and consultation/choice. - Client choice and control over their treatment: supporting a strengths-based approach and recognizing the expertise that those with lived experience have of both themselves and their treatment. - Restoration of control and empowerment: working in a supportive and collaborative way. - Recognizing and addressing the effects of trauma: treatment for trauma symptoms that do not further traumatize the individual, concerned with strengths-based interventions that assess trauma symptoms in order to focus on successful outcomes or goals. - Cultural relevance and inclusivity: being aware of and valuing the intersectionality of trauma and responding to this in ways that are responsive to an individual's unique experience. Awareness of the cultural competency needed in trauma-informed care has been acknowledged and is probably applicable to a mental health setting. If trauma-informed care is about relationships, it is necessary for those caring for the soon-to-be patient to have shown a willingness to learn how these six principles are lived out. Although there has been some critique about what it actually means to provide trauma-informed care, a central element to being able to provide trauma-informed care is being able to define the principles of trauma-informed care in a way that allows for them to be applied in practice. In this way, trauma-informed care is connected to evidence-based care in mental health. In order for a practitioner to assess whether such principles are useful in any particular place, they have to be able to present them in a manner that makes them operational. This is the pragmatic goal of gaining agreement on their meaning. Likewise, if these principles are truly meaningful, then they may be worthy of training in their use. (Bargeman et al., 2022)

2. Understanding Trauma and its Impact on Mental Health

Trauma is complex and multifaceted, and it is not easily defined or categorized. Although generally understood as a deeply distressing or disturbing experience, it differs from one person, family, community, and culture to another. How a person experiences trauma and its consequences depends on multiple variables, including personal factors, how much trauma an individual has had, the type of trauma experienced, and the timing of the trauma throughout life. The same traumatic event

can impact ten people in ten different ways. Importantly, one type of trauma can have numerous and varied results, meaning that regardless of diagnosis, the history and impact of traumatic events can be a major avenue toward understanding distress. It is absolutely possible for the same specific experience to be traumatic for one person and not another, depending on a range of factors.

Trauma can take on numerous forms, some of which are unique to individuals or are commonly experienced by specific groups. Various types of trauma include complex trauma, developmental trauma, attachment-related trauma, acute trauma, racism and cultural trauma, trauma related to health conditions, child abuse and neglect, domestic and family violence, and war and refugee-related trauma. When considering a traumatic experience, it is helpful to understand that trauma can have impacts on the brain, body, thoughts, and emotions in the short and long term. Following a traumatic event, most people will experience intense physiological and emotional distress, manifesting as fear and anxiety, mood swings, irritability, grief, sadness, anger, numbness, derealization, dissociation, and a sense of not fitting in. In addition to being acutely distressing and feeling hard to comprehend, traumatic stress can also lead to a number of behavioral challenges. Often, people will withdraw from previously enjoyable activities, become increasingly restless and agitated, have difficulty concentrating, lose appetite, struggle to sleep, and can become overwhelmed by complex and competing emotions. Trauma can also manifest itself in a range of mental health symptoms and disorders, including post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, anxiety, addiction, eating disorders, and schizophrenia.

2.1. Types of Trauma

In order to have a more comprehensive discussion of the significance of trauma-informed care, it is important to distinguish between acute, chronic, complex, and developmental trauma. Acute trauma typically refers to a single, time-limited traumatic incident. Chronic trauma typically involves growing up or living in a dangerous environment for an extended period of time, such as an inner-city situation with high exposure to violence or a war zone. This type of trauma is often expressed as occurring all at once in a dramatic escape or through quick changes such as quitting drugs, cutting off unhealthy family ties, or suddenly moving away. Complex trauma is a term generally applied to children and adult victims who experience a wide variety

of traumatic experiences, especially early in life and/or in relationships where there was an expectation of protection. (Mahon, 2022)

Chronic trauma encompasses repeated and prolonged exposure to adverse events. This refers to the repeated and prolonged occurrence of physical or psychological violence and does not pertain to acute incidents that recur within the event. As a result, chronic trauma can occur without a primary traumatic event like a disaster or a sudden death. Developmental trauma refers to traumatic experiences that occur between the ages of birth and 18, which affect a child's growth and emotional development, can impair a child's future mental health, their self-esteem, and wreck their developmental work. Early trauma in particular has a profound and negative effect on a child's developing brain. It has been suggested that trauma is defined by the duration, severity, intensity, and frequency of occurrence. This definition generally implies that all trauma has the same level of severity and impact. However, different types of trauma can be linked to different symptoms that have an impact on mental health and treatment strategy development. The distinctions described in this section have an impact on therapeutic targets, treatment adaptation, and the intensity and timing of interventions. A clinical discussion is given between the variables such as the patient, the capacity or means to cope with the event, the exposure to the traumatic event, and so forth.

3. Integration of Trauma-Informed Care in Mental Health Services

In trauma research, days of remembrance serve not only as memorials to tragic times, but as commemorative markers in history, urging societal reflection, reckoning, and reparation. Our research is the expression of scholarly reflection on matters related to trauma, society, and health. It articulates the growing trajectory of a concept known as "trauma-informed care" located within mental health services. Trauma-informed care is critical for fostering therapeutic alliance, practice, and policy in mental health services. Thus, it is a crucial mechanism towards improving the well-being and health of trauma survivors. (Waites et al.2022)

Traditionally, the mental health system has operated on the principles of advocacy and service delivery, providing treatments tailored for specific psychiatric disorders with a focus on diagnosis, symptom reduction, and psychosocial rehabilitation. These approaches paid little attention to the backgrounds of the consumers or the effect of

current services on their recovery and well-being. Post-traumatic diagnostic criteria and symptomatology delineated in common diagnostic systems have gained attention and awareness at the practitioner level. However, many early trauma theories and pathways have not typically been incorporated into mental health practice, research, and policy. Trauma-informed approaches to mental health services suggest that the systems understand the potential traumatic impact of engagement with their services. The frameworks for experiences and outcomes are used to inform treatment planning and the service/community environment that is cognizant, supportive, and sensitive to survivors of trauma. Trauma-informed care involves clinicians, service delivery and/or community organizer institutions and offices, hospital management boards, and community organization representatives and working groups. It is the cultivation of a trauma-resistant or trauma-resilient environment offered at the most cost-effective and advisable level with the resources available. Although most typically situated within mental health hospital services, mainstreaming of trauma-informed care can occur at the level of systems and service delivery and/or consumer engagement.

There is both positive and negative evidence concerning the degree of success and utility of trauma-informed care integrated into mental health services. Advantages of a trauma-informed care approach have included a dynamic for more informing, feedback, and collaboration from clients for service improvement; an increased trust between consumers and services and consequent improvements in relevant outcomes; alignment of service-oriented values and practices of staff with a resultant improvement in treatment and, therefore, client outcomes; family inclusion; increased staff morale; and potential for cost-effective service improvement and staff retention. Disadvantages have posed different conclusions via the literature. Broadly, they include clinicians' lack of confidence to provide trauma-informed care, motivation and resource support by hospital authorities to continue alongside outcomes such as staff culture resistance, secondary traumatic stress, victim and rights issues, time-, financial-, and space-consuming training, enlarged caseloads, acceptability in a forensic setting, inability to generalize collaborative hospital-initiated public services, repeated service initiation for relapsing patients, admission length, and the de-medicalization of 'illness'. Ongoing education and training are a major factor in the

successful integration of trauma-informed care, keeping staff abreast of evidence-based therapies and recovery-related treatments. Alignment of trauma-informed care with policy direction is particularly useful in supporting its integration. And to call a word about organizational and community capacity — is there any in the bank?

3.1. Benefits and Challenges

One of the clear advantages of incorporating trauma-informed care practices into mental health services is that it can significantly improve patient engagement and adherence to treatment. Establishing a safe and trusting therapeutic environment is equally important for protecting against reductions in a sense of safety and well-being following trauma emotionally, behaviorally, and physiologically for both clients and providers. This, in turn, is believed to result in improved clinical outcomes, contributing to the potential enlargement of the therapeutic canvas of ways in which people who have experienced trauma can find recovery. Yet, the implementation of trauma-informed approaches in mental health services is facing several challenges. Foremost among these is the compatibility of trauma-informed care with existing systems and practices within mental health services and what level of change current services might agree to in order to integrate trauma-informed care. This may be even more likely given the substantial evaluation and evidentiary base required to convince statutory systems of the need for, and advantages of, extending comprehensive trauma-informed approaches into the criminal justice system. Moreover, if significant changes to service practice and culture are needed to support and enhance trauma-informed care, significant training of staff members will also be a necessary action. This brings us to another challenge: resources considerations, as investment will need to be made in a range of development work carried out over a significant span of time. (Razmeh and Salgado, 2022)

To date, the evidence base regarding trauma-informed care has tended to focus on the development of complete trauma-informed services and programs within the child abuse and domestic violence sectors. The focus on complete over partial or process evaluations may actually be seen as a benefit. Such an approach requires a comprehensive process of organizational change which is likely to lead to a more profound and potentially transformative organizational and therapeutic culture than single process or partial evaluations could provide. The range of activities involved in

developing trauma-informed services and systems in various areas of practice amounts to the development of context evidence from which an understanding of the complexity, changeability, and interrelation of elements can be understood. This will lead to a better understanding of 'how, why, and under what conditions' integration could work.

4. Evidence-Based Practices in Trauma-Informed Care

Before practicing from a trauma-informed approach, it is important that potential interventions are research-based or evidence-based. Rigorous research is needed to examine if a practice is effective in producing beneficial changes for those exposed to trauma or working with individuals who have experienced trauma. There are several evidence-informed and promising interventions that fall under the umbrella of trauma-informed care. Many of the treatments and interventions have been researched for a variety of individuals who have been exposed to trauma. One of the reasons that so many different interventions are required is the heterogeneity of how exposure to trauma may affect, interact, or impact any given individual.

Evidence-based practice is the use of best research evidence with clinical expertise in the context of patient characteristics, cultures, and preferences. A trauma-informed, evidence-based approach to mental health care may look like using a variety of evidence-based practice interventions such as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, Trauma-Focused Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy, Alternatives for Families, and Dialectical Behavioral Therapy. DBT specifically focuses on building skills to help change behavioral patterns. Mindfulness-based interventions such as Acceptance and Commitment Therapy as well as Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing for individuals who have a trauma history are also utilized. In the youth-serving system, TF-CBT is evidentiary and widely deployed for survivors of complex and single-incident trauma, yet each trauma survivor requires a specific approach. Most of these EBP interventions are heavily modified for working with those who have experienced trauma with judicial, legal, or law enforcement involvement, including employing in-vivo exposure. Regardless of how robust the evidence is for trauma-specific treatment approaches, providers say that teaching clients wellness activities that they can integrate into their lives will lead them to optimal functioning. Safety, hope, and transparent communication underlie these activities. Providing information and

education tailored to individual client needs allows them to develop plans for individualized treatment and interventions. Professional development can ground practitioners in current trauma practices, integrating new information and additional research into the services they provide. Researchers who study evidence-based trauma interventions advocate that for the individual survivor of trauma, tailoring treatment should attend to four components: (1) the individual's affective and cognitive functions; (2) somatic experiences; (3) behavioral reactions and complexity of behavioral expression; and (4) interpersonal relations and function.

For the purposes of this report, one case will highlight the coupling of evidence-based treatment with a youth who is feeling survivor guilt using TF-CBT. TF-CBT is a short-term treatment that involves both the child and parents. The successful treatments work toward helping the child move forward in the grieving and bereavement process by helping them reconcile their own feelings about the death(s) and to get them to be able to focus on the here and now and to be able to have hope and look toward the future. TF-CBT is goal-oriented and helps the child to live in the present moment and learn cherishing techniques to develop positive feelings at their point of grieving and reframe the negative thoughts and feelings. In this case, AB's counseling treatment started until AB felt the goals for treatment were met and then ended. Often, the youth that the writers of this report work with have experienced extraordinarily traumatic events, more so than a single-incident loss. In fact, the Intervention and Clinical Practice section will cover how working with populations with complex grief issues may be necessary when doing recovery work.

4.1. Therapeutic Interventions

A number of therapeutic intervention approaches are potentially appropriate for trauma-informed care. One of the most well-known and supported is TF-CBT. Keeping in mind the phase-oriented nature of trauma recovery discussed above, motivational interviewing or similar engagement methods are often used to build trust and rapport from the start. EMDR is another evidence-based trauma intervention especially suitable for clients with PTSD. EMDR is thought to enable a client's brain to better process the traumatic memories, enabling the client to return to adaptive functioning. Somatic therapies address the physical responses to trauma and can help restore connections to the body, which some trauma survivors experience as

fragmented and can report physical numbness in conjunction with strong negative emotional states. (Hong, 2022)

The good news is that those engaged in trauma recovery can avoid retraumatization with some understanding about the impact of trauma and training on understanding how the brain is affected and what is suitable content for psychoeducation. Perhaps more than any other treatment approach, knowing the core principles from the start and using a strength-based approach are hugely important. However, some caution is advisable to prevent overdoing reassurance and ensure that the therapist is not doing the work of encouraging denial. Collaboration and empowerment or trauma recovery as a collaborative activity are central to the trauma-informed approach. Of course, TIC is based on using this as a guiding principle in practice, fostering the client's sense of agency, hope, and self-reliance. That requires both avoiding paternalistic models and also involving the client in their own treatment and planning.

Training that specifically specializes in how to skillfully address those impacted by trauma is widely suggested as a "best practice." Training in CBT might help increase a provider's comfort level at talking about thoughts, emotions, and reactions that come up for their clients. Trauma-informed clinicians also tend to more quickly address common traumatic stress reactions, normalize the client's experiences to reduce feelings of shame and humiliation, and skillfully reinforce resilience and positive coping strategies. Regulations expect that not just anybody can practice CBT without experience, specialized training, and certification in counseling skills that support this level of TIC, and nobody practicing in non-professional helping roles. Increasing the provider's knowledge of such empowering interventions is a wonderful asset. It might also be important for the provider to know how behavioral issues and symptoms can be trauma symptoms and may worsen after the first treatment before resolving.

Experienced generalist therapists, who are not trained in any of these practices, can generate methods and models of their own that are trauma-oriented, which also take comfort in developing a good knowledge of the impacts of trauma. Guiding principles also include cultural competence, understanding that which treatment works for one is not superior or inferior to another. Cultural competence is also seen as a way of providing TIC, which can include a sense of what might allow safety for the client, such as being secure in their race and culture and also feeling that the person with

whom they work is more likely to understand the traumas that are most likely to come up for them. Providing the most trauma-sensitive care requires an openness to learning even more about our cultural and therapeutic biases and an openness to learning even more about how we can serve those who have been marginalized.

5. Conclusion and Future Directions

In conclusion, we appreciate the complex range of insights that have been gained throughout this essay. From understanding the prevalence and impact of trauma across diverse populations to the research-based frameworks that are currently being used to respond to trauma, an overarching message emerges: slowly but irrevocably, a shift towards trauma-informed care is underway in mental health services internationally. Though characterized by a persistent commitment to research and evaluation and the refined development of proposed strategies, we also acknowledge that EIPIPs present many opportunities for innovation. Though the exact form such innovation can take will depend on the unique factors and contingencies found at any given service level, we nevertheless speculate on a number of promising initiatives that could be pursued in the years to come, including the pursuit of community projects and policy advocacy, working to develop a trauma-informed ecosystem of care, and the local level development of a systematic approach: a model presented herein as a Service System Framework of trauma-informed care. (Xu et al., 2022)

Of course, genuine and ethical engagement with trauma-informed practices presents many obstacles, challenges, and criticisms. This section of the conclusion looks to highlight these before concluding with a message of possibilities. Highlighting the opportunities and barriers ahead of us, we argue that, working together, a systematic and methodical response to the identified systemic challenges can begin. Simultaneously, we affirm the continuing need for fostering efforts to train students and staff, consumers and community members, upholding an unwavering commitment to a trauma-informed approach to mental health services. In conclusion, it is worth drawing from the conclusion concerning this issue, as there is an incredible opportunity for reform as mental health systems are being reimaged. It is often the certain knowledge of the potentiality of trauma to reconstruct services and the worlds of both workers and service users alike that provides both the impetus and hope for change for us. A compensatory embrace, transcending formal conceptualizations, is

the embrace called forth by a synthesis of the individual and the collective, the personal and the contextual—a convergence that trauma overlays but, at the same time, holds the potential for healing and empowerment and herein lies both the challenge and the opportunity of trauma-informed care provision.

References

- Ball, A., Bowen, E. A., & Jones, A. S. (2021). Integrating trauma-informed care and collective impact: perspectives of service providers working with cross-system youth. *Journal of the Society for Social Work and Research*, 12(1), 59-81. [uchicago.edu](https://www.uchicago.edu)
- Bargeman, M., Smith, S., & Wekerle, C. (2021). Trauma-informed care as a rights-based “standard of care”: A critical review. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. [\[HTML\]](#)
- Goddard, A. (2021). Adverse childhood experiences and trauma-informed care. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care*. [academia.edu](https://www.academia.edu)
- Hong, S. (2021). Trauma-Informed Cultural Humility Mental Health Practice: Centering History among African American Women. *Social Work*. [\[HTML\]](#)
- Mahon, D. (2022). Implementing trauma informed care in human services: An ecological scoping review. *Behavioral Sciences*. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
- Razmeh, S., & Salgado, Y. (2022). Empowering Future Bilingual Educators: Integrating Trauma-Informed Approaches in Bilingual Teacher Education. *Language, Technology, and Social Media*, 2(2), 72-85. [wiseedu.co.id](https://www.wiseedu.co.id)
- Waites, S. F., Stevens, J. L., & Hancock, T. (2021). Trauma's effects on shopper choice confusion: The role of psychological hardiness and retailer strategies as mitigating factors. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 72, 103277. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
- Xu, Q., York, J. A., & Yuan, S. (2022). Trauma's Impact on Oral Healthcare: A Biopsychosocial and Trauma-Informed Approach. *Journal of the California Dental Association*. [tandfonline.com](https://www.tandfonline.com)